The effect of microbiological products on soil properties in the conditions of replant disease
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Abstract
The phenomenon of soil fatigue, causing replant disease, can occur in the conditions of monocultural cultivation. This evokes a decrease in the soil productive value because of the deterioration of biological soil properties. In the present work, an attempt has been made to improve the biological activity of a replanted soil by the use of three micro-biological preparations: EM-5, Bacto Fill 10B and Humobak PG. The biological activity of soil was estimated using two parameters: the activity of soil enzymes, dehydrogenase and protease as well as the respiratory activity. The application of microbiological preparations significantly increased the activity of dehydrogenase in the soil. The effect of two among the three applied preparations exerted a smaller influence on the protease activity. An exception was the Humobak PG preparation whose application distinctly increased the activity of protease in the replanted soil. On the other hand, the vegetation period was found to exert a significant effect both on soil enzymatic and respiratory activity. In the autumn period, the activity of both enzymes in the soil was higher, in comparison with the spring period, while the respiratory activity was higher in the spring. The functioning of soil microorganisms depends on some physico-chemical properties of soil. In our work, the studied preparations did not have any significant effect on the changes in the pH values. However, the effect was more perceivable on the content of organic carbon in the soil. The application of microbiological preparations in replanted soil caused a change in the plant growth and fruiting. Among others, an increase in the average leaf area of strawberry, the average fruit mass and the content of juice extract in fruits was observed.
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Introduction
A long-term growing of the same plant species in the same place in an orchard is called “monoculture”. Such practice leads to the phenomenon known under the name of “soil fatigue”, or “soil sickness” which causes the development of replantation disease (Manici et al., 2003; Szajdak, 2003; Zydlik, 2004). Replanted plants have greater difficulties in adapting to habitat conditions and they show worse growth parameters, in comparison with plants grown in localities not used earlier for the same species, i.e. in the so called “virgin soil” (Zydlik, 2004; Rumberger et al., 2007).

Soil micro-organisms belong to the basic factors contributing to the accessibility of nutritive components to plants (Paul, Clark, 2000). Monoculture continued for many years disturbs the biological balance in the soil (Szajdak, 2003) and changes the species composition of soil micro-organisms (Barabasz et al., 1998). In replanted soil, one can observe a decrease of beneficial bacteria and an increase of phytopathogenic and toxinogenic ones (Kowalik, 1999).
and pests (Stewart, Daly, 1999). EM applied to the soil increases the diversity of soil micro-fauna (Hoshino et al., 2002); it limits the development of pathogenic organisms in the environment (Kaczmarek et al., 2008).

Other alternatives to chemical products are the following microbiological preparations: Bacto Fill 10B and Humobak PG. The first one contains specialized bacterial vaccine, macro and micro elements, enzymes and other active substances created by micro-organisms (plant hormones, vitamins, etc.). The second one is a mixture of multi-active saprophytic soil micro-organisms, bacteria, actinomycetes and fungi. According to the producers of these preparations, their use improves some physico-chemical properties of soil, increases the volume and mass of the plant root system, causes the increase of plant hormones and decreases the content of pathogenic soil micro-flora.

The objective of our work was to estimate the effect of three microbiological preparations on the selected biological and physico-chemical properties of soil as influence by the replant disease.

**Material and methods**

Our experiment was conducted at the Experimental Station of Fruit Growing Department, University of Life Sciences in Poznań, in the years 2009–2010. In spring 2009, cuttings of strawberry cultivar ‘Senga-Sengana’ were planted into plastic containers of 8 l capacity. The containers were filled with two different types of soil. 1. Soil from orchard quarter not used, so far, for orchard purposes, but prepared for new plantation (virgin soil). The soil was characterized by physico-chemical properties optimal for the tested plants (pH value between 5.5 and 6.5 and optimal P, K and Mg content). 2. Soil from apple tree rows in the orchard quarter utilized for about 30 years as orchard-replanted soil. The fact of replant disease in this soil has been confirmed earlier (Zydlik, 2010). Results obtained in a series of studies carried out by the authors, in the years 2003–2005 indicate strawberry as a species which reacts in the quickest way to the chemical and biological properties of the replanted soil (Pacholak, Zydlik 2004; 2006). This fact decided that strawberry plants were selected as a test plant in the experiment.

The experiment included 5 treatments represented by 6 replications. Each replication consisted of one container with plants. The applied treatments were as follows: treatment 1 – soil prepared for orchard cultivation (control), treatment 2 – replanted soil, treatment 3 – soil from treatment 2 with EM-5 preparation, treatment 4 – soil from treatment 2 with Bacto Fill 10B preparation, treatment 5 – soil from treatment 2 with Humobak PG preparation.

During the experiment, no additional mineral fertilization was applied. The basic treatments included a periodical plant irrigation and removal of weeds.

The EM-5 preparation is available on the market in the form of a concentrate named EM-1 from which preparations ready for use are made: EM-A (active) and EM-5. The EM-5 preparation was made for application in our experiment according to the recommendations of the producer. Water (800 ml), the concentrate (100 ml) and molasses (100 ml) were mixed. After 7 days, 100 ml of vinegar and 100 ml of alcohol (40%) were added. The preparation was ready to be applied after 14 days. The remaining two microbiological preparations did not require any additional procedure.

In the vegetation period, three soil applications of the preparation were carried out: the first one at the beginning of vegetation and the successive ones in one-month intervals. The dose of EM-5 preparation was 10 ml m⁻² in 50 ml of water (100 l ha⁻¹ in 500 l of water, respectively). Humobak PG preparation was applied in one dose 15 g m⁻² (1500 kg ha⁻¹). After spreading the preparation on soil surface, it was mixed with the soil in order to avoid the negative effect of sun radiation on the micro-organisms. The dose of Bacto Fill 10B was 0.1 ml m⁻² (one litre per ha). Here also the preparation was mixed with the soil. In order to increase the efficacy of the microbiological preparations, spraying was carried out in the morning and in the evening, during the period of high air humidity.

Soil samples were taken in June and September, in each experimental year. From each replication within the treatment, one sample of 0.2 kg was taken. The samples were analysed for: 1) organic carbon content (content of organic matter), 2) soil pH, 3) activity of two enzymes: dehydrogenase and protease, 4) respiratory activity of soil.

The content of organic carbon was studied by Tiurin’s method. The measurement of soil pH was done by the potentiometric method. Respiratory and enzymatic activities of soil, next to such parameters as the total number of micro-organism groups, are regarded as reliable indicators of soil micro-flora activity (Kucharski, 1997). Respiratory activity in field conditions was determined on the basis of the released CO₂ by the absorption method (CO₂ in mg⁻¹ kg⁻¹ 48 h⁻¹) (Gołębiowska, Pędziwilk, 1984). Enzymatic activity of soil was determined by the following methods: 1) protease (AP) – by spectrophotometric method according to Ladd and Butler (1972) using 1% sodium caseinate after 1 h of incubation at 50°C and 578 nm wave length, 2) dehydrogenase (ADH) – by colorimetric method according to Thalmann (1968) using 1% of triphenyltetrazolium chloride (TTC) solution, after 24 h of incubation at 30°C and 485 nm wave length (TTC test).

Leaves of strawberry plants were collected at the end of their vegetation period, before they started to fall down. From each treatment, 30 leaves were taken (5 leaves from each replication). The leaf area was defined using a scanner and the digiShape computer program.

The evaluation of the yielding and fruit quality included the measurement of fruit mean mass, firmness and extract content. From each combination, a representative sample of 15 fruits was collected and then, they were weighed. For the measurement of extract and fruit firmness, an automatic firmness meter and an electronic calorimeter were used. All measurements were carried out on the same plants and fruit.
The obtained results were statistically processed. For this purpose, one or two-factor analysis of variance was applied and Duncan’s test was used at the significance level of \( \alpha = 0.05 \). Results were analyzed on the basis of mean values for the treatments from the years 2009–2010.

**Results**

The replanted soil used in the experiment was characterized by worse agrochemical properties (except for soil pH) in comparison with the soil not utilised as orchard (control). The reaction of the replanted soil was more acid and the percentage content of organic carbon was significantly lower (Table 1). Microbiological preparations modified these parameters. Their application significantly changed the soil pH and increased organic carbon in the soil (Table 1). Also the enzymatic activity was lower, in comparison with the control. Before the application of microbiological preparations (in the stage of experiment establishment) both the activity of dehydrogenase (5.29 cm\(^3\) H\(_2\) g\(^{-1}\) 24 h\(^{-1}\) dry mass (d.m.) of soil) and protease (7.04 mg tyrosine, g\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) d.m. of soil) in the soil of the control treatment was visibly higher, in comparison with the activity of those enzymes in the soil exhibiting symptoms of replant disease (1.57 cm\(^3\) H\(_2\) g\(^{-1}\) 24 h\(^{-1}\) d.m. of soil and 4.83 mg tyrosine, g\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) d.m. of soil, respectively).

The application of each of the three studied microbiological preparations to the soil increased the enzymatic activity in the replanted soil, particularly that of dehydrogenase (Table 1). Humobak PG preparation exhibited the most significant effect on the activity of soil. Its application caused an increase in dehydrogenase activity in the soil to 8.48 cm\(^3\) H\(_2\) g\(^{-1}\) 24 h\(^{-1}\) d.m. of soil and 4.83 mg tyrosine, g\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) d.m. of soil, respectively).

The influence of microbiological preparations on the change of protease activity in the soil was lower. The application of preparations EM-5 and Bacto Fill 10B did not result in a significant increase in the activity of this enzyme in the replanted soil; in comparison both with the control treatment and with the treatment with replanted soil but not treated with microbiological preparations (Table 1). The application of Humobak PG significantly increased the activity of protease (9.76 mg tyrosine, g\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) d.m. of soil), in comparison with the activity of this enzyme in the other treatments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Soil sampling date</th>
<th>Average for treatments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>June</td>
<td>September</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>4.08 c*</td>
<td>6.61 e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replanted soil</td>
<td>0.48 a</td>
<td>2.78 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM-5</td>
<td>2.02 b</td>
<td>5.20 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacto Fill 10B</td>
<td>4.67 cd</td>
<td>2.79 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humobak PG</td>
<td>6.98 e</td>
<td>9.98 f</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Explanations under Table 1

Also the activity of protease in the soil in the autumn period (7.0 mg tyrosine, g\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) d.m. of soil) was significantly higher, in comparison with its activity in the early summer (5.62 mg tyrosine, g\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) d.m. of soil) (Table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Soil sampling date</th>
<th>Average for treatments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>June</td>
<td>September</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>7.21 c*</td>
<td>5.48 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replanted soil</td>
<td>3.35 a</td>
<td>6.48 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM-5</td>
<td>5.31 b</td>
<td>6.21 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacto Fill 10B</td>
<td>3.41 a</td>
<td>6.91 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humobak PG</td>
<td>8.84 d</td>
<td>10.66 e</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Explanations under Table 1

The applied microbiological preparation did not change the respiratory activity of soil. Both in the control and in the soil from the remaining treatments, the respiratory activity was similar and it did not differ significantly (Table 4). The respiratory activity of soil was significantly higher in the early summer – 117.49 CO\(_2\) mg\(^{-1}\) kg\(^{-1}\) 48 h\(^{-1}\), than in September – 77.12 CO\(_2\) mg\(^{-1}\) kg\(^{-1}\) 48 h\(^{-1}\).

A change in the biological parameters of replanted soil, resulting from the application of microbiological preparations exerted an influence on the vegetative growth of the tested plants and their yielding and also on the fruit quality. The average leaf area of plants grown in a replanted soil treated with microbiological preparations, and particularly with EM-5 (41.8 cm\(^2\)), was greater, in comparison with treatments, where the preparations were not applied (38.3 cm\(^2\)) (dates not presented).
Table 4. The respiratory activity (CO₂, mg⁻¹ kg⁻¹ 48 h⁻¹) of the soil treated with biological preparations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Soil sampling date</th>
<th>Average for treatments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>June</td>
<td>September</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>111.90 d</td>
<td>75.16 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replanted soil</td>
<td>121.69 d</td>
<td>71.53 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM-5</td>
<td>110.46 cd</td>
<td>64.46 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacto Fill 10B</td>
<td>104.37 b-d</td>
<td>89.34 a-c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humobak PG</td>
<td>131.83 d</td>
<td>80.11 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average for soil sampling date</td>
<td>116.05 b</td>
<td>76.12 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Explanations under Table 1

The mass of strawberry fruits grown in the soil treated with the tested preparations was significantly greater than in the treatment with replanted soil, where the preparations were not applied (Table 5). For example, after EM-5 application, the fruits had a greater mass (7.18 g) than in the control treatment, where the soil did not show any disease symptoms (6.79 g).

In most cases, in the fruits collected from plants grown in a replanted soil treated with microbiological preparations, the content of extract was significantly higher than in the treatment without preparations, with the exception of the fruit firmness, where such relationship was not observed (Table 5).

Table 5. Yielding and quality of strawberry fruits grown in the soil treated with microbiological preparations in the years 2009–2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Fruit mass g</th>
<th>Content of extract % Brixia</th>
<th>Fruit firmness G mm⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>6.79 b*</td>
<td>11.59 b</td>
<td>124.5 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replanted soil</td>
<td>5.73 a</td>
<td>10.27 a</td>
<td>120.7 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM-5</td>
<td>7.18 d</td>
<td>11.26 b</td>
<td>116.6 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacto Fill 10B</td>
<td>6.92 bc</td>
<td>10.89 ab</td>
<td>122.5 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humobak PG</td>
<td>6.87 bc</td>
<td>11.15 b</td>
<td>129.4 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Explanations under Table 1

Discussion

One of the indices showing changes in the biological properties of soil is the level of the soil enzymatic activity. According to Bielińska and Węgorek (2005), enzymatic tests are recognized as some of the more sensitive indicators of the correct functioning of ecosystems. Results presented in our work indicate a positive effect of the microbiological preparations applied to the soil. They contributed to the increase of dehydrogenase activity in the soil. However, their influence on protease activity was less noticeable. Kaczmarek et al. (2008) also stressed the positive effect of EM preparations on the activity of soil dehydrogenase. A higher enzymatic activity of soil through its participation in the organic matter decomposition exerts a positive effect on the growth and development of plants.

An essential influence on the activity of soil enzymes, next to the actual method of soil utilization, is exerted by the climatic factors. Enzymatic activity decreases with an increase in air temperature and a decrease in moisture content in the soil (Insam et al., 1989). In our experiment, dehydrogenase and protease activity in the soil was higher during the autumn period. Similar relationships were found in the experiments of Bielińska (2001), Russel (2005) and Zydlik (2010). The occurrence of anaerobic bacteria in the soil had a significant influence on the activity of dehydrogenase. Therefore, their activity increases in anaerobic conditions resulting among others from a presence of a great amount of water in the soil. Data from the meteorological station based in the experimental site indicate that in the year 2010, both in August (118.0 mm) and in September (81.4 mm), there fell twice as much rain-fall as the perennial average (61.5 and 40.9 mm, respectively). This factor could have an influence on the activity of dehydrogenase in the soil.

Next to the climatic conditions, the enzymatic activity of soil can be influenced by some physico-chemical properties. They can include, among others, the content of mineral and organic colloids, temperature and soil pH (Kucharski, Jastrzębska, 2001). Barabasz and Volfíček (2002) argued that a decrease of soil acidity leads to the limitation of biodiversity of soil microflora, which causes lower soil enzymes activity. The microbiological preparations used in our experiment had a low influence on the pH value of the replanted soil. However, they contributed to the increase of organic carbon in the soil. This could have resulted indirectly from the organic nutrients present in the tested preparations, on which the micro-organisms develop. A higher content of organic matter in the soil is favourable for its enzymatic activity through the creation of complexes with enzymes which thereby become more resistant to denaturation (Aon, Colaneri, 2001).

CO₂ released from the soil originates mainly from organic matter decomposed by soil micro-organisms. Therefore, the respiratory activity is recognized as an indicator of a general microbiological activity of soil. In our experiment, the application of microbiological preparations to the soil did not increase significantly its respiratory activity. In the early summer period, the respiratory activity of soil was distinctly higher, in comparison with the autumn period.

Different level of the respiratory activity, in different vegetation periods, may result from the influence of climatic conditions. Temperature increase intensifies the activity of soil micro-organisms accelerating the CO₂ release. Another significant factor is the soil moisture. Both with low and with very high moisture, the respiratory activity of soil decreases (Kieliszewska-Rokicka, 2001). Low soil moisture does not provide a sufficient access of microorganisms to water, while moisture excess causes an insufficient access to oxygen. In the year 2010, the mean monthly temperature in September (12.2°C) was lower than the perennial average (14.9°C). In combination with a high level of soil moisture, this fact might have
created less favourable atmospheric conditions for the development of soil micro-organisms in the autumn period and this, in turn, could have resulted in a lower respiratory activity of the soil in that period.

Conclusions

1. A statistically significant influence of the microbiological preparations on the increase of dehydrogenase activity in the soil was found.

2. The use of EM-5 and Bacto Fill 10B preparations did not cause any increase in the activity of protease in the replanted soil.

3. The activity of both dehydrogenase and protease as well as respiratory activity of soil in the autumn period was significantly higher, in comparison with the early summer period.

4. The application of microbiological preparations did not exert any significant influence on the respiratory activity of soil from former apple tree orchard.

5. The application of microbiological preparations in the soil from former apple tree orchard significantly increased the mean mass of strawberry fruits and the extract content in them. However, no significant influence on the fruit firmness was found.
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Santrauka
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